
DEMOCRATIC and ROBERT'S RULES compared

DEMOCRATIC	ROBERT'S
27 pages of rules plus 37	Up to 700 pages of rules and
pages of answers to	protocol (depending on which
questions, examples, etc.	edition)
All motions have similar properties so there are no complicated classifications to learn	There are many kinds of motions: main, subsidiary, incidental, privileged, and they all have special properties which must be learned or found in tables. Some are debatable, some aren't. Some can be amended, some can't. Some require a majority to pass, some more. Some need seconding, some don't, etc.
Uses plain language so no need to learn a specialized vocabulary	Contains some special phrases which must be learned e.g. "the previous question", "orders of the day", "lay on the table", "question of privilege," "suppress debate", etc.
Allows informal decision- making but automatically requires more formality when necessary	Rather formal when its rules are consistently followed
Members with a greater	Members with a greater
knowledge of the rules	knowledge of the rules can use it
have no special advantage	for personal advantage
Originally written in 1994	Originally written in 1876 based
as rules of order for	on rules of order for the United
meetings of any size	States Congress
Contains rules for every	Contains rules for every likely
likely situation	situation
Likely to be read and	Likely to be partially read and
understood by the Chair	understood by the Chair and a
and many members	few members



Some basic information about *Democratic Rules of Order*

Democratic Rules of Order is a complete, concise, parliamentary authority, made to be adopted as the official rules of order for meetings of any size.

Its rules are written in plain language, free of complex protocol to enable people to participate in meetings equally and efficiently. Since they do not contain complicated procedures which reduce the rights of members less familiar with them, they produce a significantly higher standard of democracy. Members can make better decisions with greater ease in less time.

Its rules allow informality but automatically require more formality when good order requires it. Although free from legalese, these rules are exact, unambiguous and give the members and the Chair all the power needed to keep good order in a kindly way. It also contains thirty-one questions and answers, a summary of the rules, an index and a fifteen-page sample meeting which is both fun and informative.

Through nine editions, this book has been thoroughly revised and perfected. The rules of all editions are so similar, however, that earlier editions can be used along with the latest edition without conflict.

A truly democratic, complete, official, parliamentary authority

Q. Are *Democratic Rules of Order* very different from other parliamentary authorities?

- **A.** Yes and No. They codify much common practice and on the surface meetings would sound the same with motions, seconding, voting, etc. But rules are less obtrusive, deliberations are smoother; and equal rights exist in practice as well as in theory.
- Q. Since *Democratic Rules of Order* is so much shorter than most older rules, how can it be as reliable for large organizations to adopt for governing their meetings which might include some strongly divided opinions?
- A. Progress is natural in today's world and streamlining rules of order so that they are simpler and easier to understand does not reduce their reliability for large or small meetings in the slightest. *Democratic Rules of Order* is not missing any regulation necessary for the best run meetings. Its rules have been adopted and tested by organizations of various kinds and sizes all over North America, and have been accepted by many as the ideal and most reliable parliamentary standard.

Q. Does it contain anything new?

A. Its rule on "Informal discussion" (page 24) and the "Mover's privilege" (page 19) are two examples. Allowing members to informally discuss an idea before forming a motion is sometimes a great time saver. The mover's privilege allowing the mover and members to reword a motion during discussion makes perfecting the wording of the motion easier. It can not be abused as the change requires a formal amendment if more than one member objects.

Q. Why was this book written?

A. In hundreds of meetings, the authors saw the frequent frustrations caused by the complexities of the traditional rules with the resulting awkward situations and poor decisions. The few who knew the rules had an unfair advantage in debate.

They searched for a set of rules which were simpler but found none satisfactory. Nearly all were incomplete or were modifications of the same complex procedures. Finally they decided to write a complete set of rules based only on democratic principles and common practice.

The basic text was written in a week but it took several years of refining and input from many executives, parliamentarians and others to perfect the rules, to be sure that each point was crystal clear, and that not a single necessary rule was missing.

Q. Why is it called Democratic?

A. Because it preserves in meetings the fundamental principles of democracy: justice, equal individual rights, right of the majority to decide and the simplicity of good order.

Democratic Rules of Order ISB	N 978-0-9699260-6-1	
Authors: Fred and Peg Francis Information and orders: Cool Heads Publishing PO Box 197, Merville, BC, Canada V0R 2M0		
Toll free: 1-888-637-8228 Email: books@coolheads.ca		
www.DemocraticRules.com		
Quantity pricing:	1 book \$8.95 each	
2 books \$8.50 each	3 - 20 books \$7.95 each	
21 - 40 books \$6.95 each	41 + books \$5.95 each	